

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 1149/63502 1737 01/08/2002 Yaacov Almog 10/039,481 EXAMINER 03/24/2004 William H. Dippert, Esq. Reed Smith LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-7650

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0

### Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s) ALMOG, YAACOV	
10/039,481		
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher D RoDee	1756	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>22 January 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.				
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).				
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).				
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).				
5.	$\boxtimes$	The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).				
6.	$\boxtimes$	A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and				
	(a)		the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the ar			
	(b)	$\boxtimes$	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c) together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof			
7.	$\boxtimes$	Th	ne brief does not present an argument under a separate heading	g for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).		
8.		The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).				
9.	$\boxtimes$	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):				
		<u>Se</u>	ee Continuation Sheet	ORDin		
		<u>Se</u>	ee attached Advisory Action	CHRISTOPHER RODEE PRIMARY EXAMINER		

#### Application No. 10/039,481

#### Continuation Sheet (PTOL-462)

Continuation of 9. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

With respect to item 6b above, the Brief indicates that certain groups are separately patentable but does not provide separate arguments i support of this position. For example, groups 2, 3, and 4 have the same combination of arguments noting that each group has arguments D and E (Brief p. 3) and arguments G, H, and I are grouped together (Brief p. 9). Consequently, the arguments for groups 2, 3, and 4 are the same. Appellantshave not shown why the claims subject to the same rejection are separately patentable. Thus the following grouping do not have separate arguments as required (see MPEP 1206; pp. 1200-10 to 1200-11; version Aug. 2001):

Groups 2, 3, and 4 Groups 5 and 6 Groups 9, 10, and 11 Groups 12 and 13 Groups 16, 17, and 18 Groups 19 and 20

With respect to items 5 and 7 above: the appeal brief fails to address the obviousness-type double patenting rejection set forth in the Fina Office action or identify it as an issue in the instant appeal. See MPEP 1206 (p. 1200-8; version Aug. 2001.).

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/039,481	ALMOG, YAACOV				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher D RoDee	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under						
3/ CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b						
(c)   they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · ———					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly					
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 30-46.		i				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer						
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		·				
	·					

# Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) at . 110/039,481

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment will not be entered because the requisite supporting documents specified in the Office actions of 2/13/03 and 7/14/03 have not been made of record in the response. Without the requisite submissions the priority claim is not proper or timely. No supporting documents were filed in the recent response.

Continuation of 10. Other: The proposed amendment would enter an additional priority claim in addition to the priority claim already of record. This would be improper and confusing because the patent would have two different priority claims..